

REMARKS**I. As to the 102 rejection of claims 1, 4 and 6**

Since claims 1, 4 and 6 have been cancelled, the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102 is now moot.

II. As to the 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11-20 and 28-48

The rejection of claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11-20, and 28-48 under 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention, is respectfully traversed. Claims 3, 8 and 12 have been amended to overcome this rejection which should now be withdrawn.

III. As to the 112 first paragraph Rejection of Claims 9-20 and 28-41

The rejection of claims 9-20 and 28-41 under 35 U. S. C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is respectfully traversed. Claims 9 and 12 as amended clearly overcomes this rejection which should now be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendment made to the claims, it is believed that pending claims 3, 9, and 12 as amended are now in full compliance with all of the statutory requirements and should now be in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, it is earnestly requested that the Examiner's rejection and objection be withdrawn and all of the pending claims be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted
Associate Attorney for Applicants,

Dated: August 1, 2005

By: 

Eugene Lieberstein
Registration No. 24,645

CUSTOMER NO. 01109

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1182
(212) 278-1000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this AMENDMENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on August 1, 2005.

Audrey De Souza (Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

 (Signature of person mailing paper or fee)